

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW A. LAWRIE,)	
)	
Petitioner(s),)	No. C 10-2871 CRB (PR)
)	
vs.)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
)	
WARDEN HEDGPETH, et al.,)	(Docket # 2)
)	
Respondent(s).)	
_____)	

Petitioner, a prisoner at Salinas Valley State Prison, has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus alleging inadequate medical care. He also seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

Based solely on his affidavit of poverty, petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis (docket # 2) is GRANTED. But the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice to filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Although the Supreme Court has not addressed whether a challenge to a condition of confinement may be brought under habeas, see Bell v. Wolfish, 441 U.S. 520, 526 n.6 (1979), the Ninth Circuit has held that habeas jurisdiction is absent, and a § 1983 action proper, where, as here, a successful challenge to a prison condition will not necessarily shorten the prisoner's sentence. Ramirez v.

1 Galaza, 334 F.3d 850, 859 (9th Cir. 2003). In addition, the preferred practice in
2 the Ninth Circuit has been that challenges to conditions of confinement be
3 brought in a civil rights complaint. See Badea v. Cox, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th
4 Cir. 1991) (civil rights action is proper method of challenging conditions of
5 confinement); Crawford v. Bell, 599 F.2d 890, 891-92 & n.1 (9th Cir. 1979)
6 (affirming dismissal of habeas petition on basis that challenges to terms and
7 conditions of confinement must be brought in civil rights complaint).

8 The clerk shall send petitioner a prisoner civil rights complaint form, enter
9 judgment in accordance with this order, terminate all pending motions as moot,
10 and close the file.

11 SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: July 2, 2010

13 
14 CHARLES R. BREYER
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26